The Dominican Republic LNG Import Terminal:
Challenges in Engineering, Procurement and Construction

CB&I describe the engineering and construction of the AES Andres LNG import terminal in the Dominican Republic, and how they dealt with the challenges associated with the site, the integration with a new power plant, and the need for cost savings.

As energy deficient countries continue to seek environmentally friendly fuel sources to feed new power generation, opportunities have emerged to couple LNG import terminals with the construction of new power plants. A recent project in the Dominican Republic provides a successful example of this strategic combination. The power plant and the terminal, the first LNG facilities in the Dominican Republic, were planned in such a way that they could be operated efficiently together, despite the fact that each was designed by a different company. A number of innovative features were included in the design to optimize the integration. The LNG that is now imported into the Dominican Republic for use in the new power plant is also available as a fuel source for older existing power plants in this small island Caribbean country. One of the country’s older plants has already been retooled to be able to use natural gas as its fuel source, with other plants looking at the viability of making this same alteration.

The Dominican Republic comprises the eastern two-thirds of Hispaniola, an island located between the Caribbean Sea and the North Atlantic Ocean just to the southeast of Cuba. A site for the LNG import terminal and power plant was selected along the shoreline, 30 km east of the Dominican Republic’s capital city of Santo Domingo. The sea is rough in this area, and the land is pelted with salt spray. Since the island sits atop a large coral reef, the shoreline is dominated by porous limestone (see Figure 1). Over time, the sea and the spray have created numerous cavities in the limestone. The area is seismically active and located in the middle of the path many hurricanes follow as they move through the Caribbean corridor.

These are the conditions that AES Corporation encountered when they initiated the AES Andres project in the Dominican Republic. This project consisted of three contracts: the power plant, the jetty, and the LNG import terminal. AES selected CB&I to deliver the LNG import terminal portion of this project on a lump-sum
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turnkey basis. The import terminal included a receiving system to unload the LNG from the ship, a storage tank with enough capacity to hold the entire cargo of a 145,000 m³ LNG ship, a vapor handling system, and a send-out system, all fully integrated with the associated power plant (see Figure 2). CB&amp;I’s work included project development support, process design, civil and structural design, detail engineering, material supply, fabrication, project management, construction, pre-commissioning, startup and operator training for the import terminal.

CB&amp;I faced numerous challenges in both the design and construction of this facility, including:

- building an LNG facility on a location with harsh site conditions, subjected to frequent seismic events and also with high exposure to hurricane winds common in the Caribbean
- a design requirement to optimize the efficiency of the adjoining power plant through a unique system for thermal integration with the LNG terminal, and
- a need to balance capital expenditures against operating costs to make the terminal as capital efficient as possible.

The terminal is designed for the typical one-day LNG ship unloading turnaround. The unloading time including LNG transfer arm cooldown and ship cargo pump ramp up and ramp down will not exceed this one-day allowance. These design challenges were intensified by an aggressive schedule aimed at expediting the completion of the entire project as quickly as possible to meet the Dominican Republic’s critical need for power. This article describes the notable engineering, procurement, and construction challenges encountered in building the first LNG import terminal in the Dominican Republic.

**Engineering Challenges**

AES and CB&amp;I worked closely together to develop a design concept for the terminal that would not only be cost-efficient to operate stand-alone, but would also provide operational efficiencies when used in conjunction with the power plant.

**Site Conditions:** The porous limestone topography presented a variety of challenges to the design of the import terminal and associated storage tank. A ringwall was designed to provide a suit-able foundation for the storage tank. As the preliminary excavation work for the ringwall was being performed, it was discovered that the limestone in that area contained solution cavities where the mineral in the rock had leached out over time. Some of these cavities could not be avoided and required remediation. To strengthen the limestone beneath the tank, boreholes were drilled into the limestone under the tank and under parts of the process area, and then the boreholes and any cavities encountered were pumped full of grout. Approximately 2,000 cubic yards (1600 m³) of grout was pumped into the limestone beneath the tank through these holes.

The use of locally available materials for construction of the earthen secondary containment structure was convenient; however, these materials required stabilization when used in the earthen dike (see Figure 1). To improve the soil’s structural properties and reduce its permeability, the soil in the outer five feet of the dike was mixed with cement and compacted to form soil cement. Both the walls and the bottom of
the containment structure were constructed of this soil/cement mixture. Additionally, a concrete revetment mat was constructed over the ocean-side surface of the dike to protect the dike from wave-action damage during severe storms.
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Construction Challenges

The construction of the LNG import terminal presented its own set of unique challenges. Most of these were driven by the accelerated project schedule created to address critical power shortages in the Dominican Republic. AES wanted the terminal completed and operational within 26 months to meet this need. Construction was completed in a record 24 months, beating this deadline.

AES worked with CB&I to develop a construction schedule that would bring up the facility in phases. The first phase began when AES granted CB&I early release of the engineering. This allowed CB&I to do all the preliminary work in preparation for the construction startup while AES was still securing the necessary permits. As a result, once all the permits were received and AES gave CB&I the Notice to Proceed, CB&I was able to mobilize and begin construction within one week, with subcontractors hired and on location. Purchase orders were established and long-lead items could be ordered quickly. Foundation and site engineering had been completed, and tank materials could be ordered. The early release of engineering shaved three to four months from the construction schedule.

The scope of the project grew during the construction process. One of the first modifications to the project involved a request to complete the send-out system so that it could be used stand-alone, if necessary, while the storage tank was being built. According to the original schedule, the power plant would be operational before the storage tank was completed. The intent of the modification was to send LNG directly to the power plant from a ship without waiting for the completion of the storage tank. The send-out system was built first and connected directly to the receiving system. LNG could then be unloaded from the ship at a low flow rate and sent directly through the send-out system to the plant. While not cost-effective for normal operations since the time required for the ship to remain at the facility was greatly increased, this design provided flexibility for the import terminal operators during the construction process.

Although subsequent construction delays with the power plant rendered it unnecessary to use the send-out system stand-alone, it was successfully built with the capability to be operated in this manner. Other modifications that were added to the scope of the work involved the civil work at the site. CB&I was up and running on location so quickly that it made sense for them to handle much of the work themselves, as part of the project, including the building of roads, drainage systems, and site remediation. They were able to subcontract and oversee this work and complete it along with the import terminal.

Because of its long history of constructing facilities in the Dominican Republic, CB&I was able to hire and train the construction crew in an expedited timeframe. The construction crew was predominately staffed with labor resources from the Dominican Republic. Including subcontractors, about 90% of the construction crew members were Dominican Republic nationals (exceeding the local labor requirement). Of the CB&I employees on the crew, at least half were nationals, many of whom had worked for CB&I on other projects in the Dominican Republic.

This experience greatly reduced the amount of training time required and facilitated the fast-track construction pace. CB&I was able to select skilled supervisors from their extensive labor pool in neighboring Central and South America, as well as the Caribbean. These individuals played key roles in field supervision and created a team of talented professionals who were adept at handling local, as well as global, construction problems. This approach not only helped shorten the project schedule, but it also helped in resolving other location-specific issues that were encountered.

Once the crews were hired and on the ground, training became the next priority, especially safety training. Safety was never compromised in the interest of completing the project quickly. Crew members were trained to follow the safety practices required on all CB&I’s construction projects. As a result, the project team completed the project without incurring a recordable injury or lost-time accident.

The ability to accomplish this significant result required a total team effort. The commitment of every member of the construction crew to work safely and to work smart, along with the outstanding leadership of the safety manager, the superintendent, the construction manager, and all the field supervisors, contributed to the remarkable success of this project. The spirit of the Dominican Republic nationals, whose friendly attitude and strong work ethic created the atmosphere in which the work was performed, helped AES and CB&I find solutions for all the challenges encountered in the construction process.

Conclusion

The power plant and LNG import terminal are now fully operational, and LNG imported at the terminal is being used to fuel the Los Mina power plant, an older power plant owned and operated by AES in the neighboring city of Haina. The Los Mina plant was retrofitted to use natural gas as a fuel source, and a pipeline was constructed to carry the natural gas from the import terminal to the plant.

In December, the new power plant was completed and the LNG import terminal was fully tested. During this testing, all of the systems were operated and monitored to ensure that all design specifications were met, including the rate and pressure at which the ship was unloaded and the rate and pressure of the send-out system. Adjustments were made as necessary to ensure optimal performance.